



SRUJANI: Indian Journal of Innovative Research and Development (SIJIRD)

Volume-4 Issue 3, July-August 2025, Pp. 131-137

Bi-Monthly, Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Indexed Journal

ISSN: 2583-3510

**SIRDF**  
JOURNALS  
editor@srujani.in  
www.srujani.in

## Ethical Dilemmas in Journalism: A Critical Examination of Payment Practices

Shreekant K

Lecturer, Department of Mass Communication and Journalism, Koppal University, Koppal.

### Abstract:

*This article undertakes a critical examination of the ethical dilemmas arising from financial transactions between journalists and their sources, with particular emphasis on the controversial practices of chequebook journalism and paid news. Drawing upon real-world case studies, normative ethical frameworks, and professional codes of conduct, the study analyses how such monetary exchanges compromise journalistic independence, distort news values, and undermine the credibility of the press. It argues that these practices not only weaken public trust but also create systemic conflicts of interest that threaten the democratic role of the media. The discussion further outlines practical and ethically sound alternatives, including transparent editorial guidelines, strengthened self-regulatory mechanisms, and robust newsroom accountability processes. By situating the debate within both global and Indian media contexts, the article seeks to contribute to ongoing discourse on preserving integrity, fostering transparency, and restoring public confidence in journalism.*

**Keywords:** Journalism ethics, chequebook journalism, paid news, media trust, transparency, editorial integrity, media accountability

### Introduction

Journalism has long been regarded as the “fourth estate” of democracy, entrusted with the responsibility of informing the public, holding power to account, and upholding the principles of truth, fairness, and independence. However, in recent decades, the profession has faced increasing scrutiny over ethical lapses, particularly in relation

to the exchange of money between journalists and their sources. Practices such as *chequebook journalism*—where payment is offered for exclusive interviews or information—and *paid news*—where editorial space is sold for disguised promotional content—have emerged as serious threats to the

**Please cite this article as:** Shreekant, K. (2025). Ethical Dilemmas in Journalism: A Critical Examination of Payment Practices. *SRUJANI: Indian Journal of Innovative Research and Development* 4(3), 131–137

credibility and moral foundation of the press.

While proponents of these practices sometimes justify them as necessary for securing valuable or otherwise inaccessible information, critics argue that monetary transactions fundamentally distort news values, create conflicts of interest, and blur the boundaries between editorial content and advertising. Such arrangements can incentivise sensationalism over accuracy, favour privileged voices over marginalized perspectives, and erode public trust in the media.

The ethical dilemmas posed by these practices are not merely theoretical. Numerous scandals across both global and Indian contexts have revealed how financial inducements compromise journalistic independence and weaken democratic accountability. The problem is further compounded by the commercial pressures of a competitive media market, where revenue generation often overshadows public service obligations.

This article critically examines the ethical implications of payment practices in journalism through a combination of case studies, theoretical perspectives, and professional codes of ethics. It argues for the need to establish transparent editorial guidelines, strengthen self-regulatory mechanisms, and promote alternative, integrity-based approaches to news gathering. In doing so, it seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of

how the media can navigate commercial realities without compromising its ethical commitments.

### **Understanding Paid News and Chequebook Journalism**

*Chequebook journalism* refers to the practice of offering monetary or material incentives to individuals in exchange for exclusive stories, interviews, or information. While it was once predominantly associated with celebrity gossip columns, entertainment reporting, and sensational tabloid coverage, the practice has expanded into more serious domains, including political reporting and investigative journalism. In such contexts, payment arrangements can influence the framing, selection, and timing of stories, thereby compromising the independence and credibility of the reporting process.

Closely related is the phenomenon of *paid news*, in which individuals, organisations, or political entities provide financial compensation to media outlets in return for favourable coverage—often without disclosure to the audience. In many cases, such content is presented as genuine news, making it indistinguishable from editorial material. In regions such as South Asia, this practice has in some instances become institutionalised, with formal or informal arrangements between media houses and clients for sustained promotional visibility.

Both chequebook journalism and paid news undermine the foundational principle of public-interest journalism,

which is to inform citizens impartially and truthfully. By prioritising financial gain over editorial integrity, these practices blur the line between news and advertising, distort public discourse, and erode audience trust. Ultimately, the absence of transparency in such transactions damages not only individual media outlets but also the broader democratic role of the press.

### **Ethical Concerns and Philosophical Grounding**

Paying sources for information or access presents a range of ethical challenges that strike at the heart of professional journalism. One of the most pressing concerns is *conflict of interest*, as financial transactions can skew editorial judgment, leading journalists to prioritise stories that serve the interests of paying or paid parties rather than the public. This, in turn, risks a *loss of credibility*, with audiences questioning whether a report is published for its inherent newsworthiness or as a result of monetary inducements. The practice also raises profound questions about *manipulation of vulnerable voices*. Marginalised individuals, trauma survivors, or those in precarious circumstances may feel pressured to accept payment without fully understanding the implications, creating the potential for exploitation under the guise of consent. Furthermore, there is the danger of *editorial compromise*, where newsrooms—enticed or pressured by financial incentives—become conduits for narratives shaped by vested interests,

eroding the independence necessary for democratic accountability.

From a philosophical perspective, these concerns can be explored through two major ethical frameworks. *Deontological ethics*, rooted in the philosophy of Immanuel Kant, emphasises adherence to moral duties and universal principles, such as truth-telling, fairness, and respect for persons—standards that paying for information often violates. Conversely, *consequentialism* evaluates ethical decisions based on their outcomes; here, the potential consequences of such payments—distorted public discourse, diminished trust, and weakened democratic institutions—strongly suggest incompatibility with responsible journalism. Taken together, both approaches affirm that the practice of compensating sources is not merely a procedural misstep but a fundamental breach of journalism's ethical foundation.

### **Ethical Standards in Journalism Codes**

The ethical objections to paying sources are reinforced by widely recognised professional codes of conduct that govern journalism across the world. The *Society of Professional Journalists (SPJ) Code of Ethics* explicitly warns against accepting gifts, favours, fees, or special treatment, and discourages arrangements that may compromise integrity or impartiality. Similarly, the *International Federation of Journalists (IFJ) Global Charter of Ethics for Journalists* calls for the separation of

editorial content from advertising and denounces practices that mislead the audience or disguise paid material as genuine news. These guidelines underscore the principle that credibility depends on transparency, independence, and truthfulness.

In the Indian context, the *Press Council of India's Norms of Journalistic Conduct* strongly condemn *paid news*, identifying it as a form of deception that violates both the spirit and the letter of responsible reporting. The Council stresses that news should be free from commercial influence and that editorial judgment must remain independent of financial considerations. These norms echo global best practices, affirming that journalism's social contract is grounded in public service rather than private gain.

By aligning newsroom practices with these ethical frameworks, media institutions can safeguard their role as impartial informers and watchdogs of democracy. Conversely, violating these principles through chequebook journalism or paid news not only breaches professional codes but also undermines the media's legitimacy in the eyes of the public.

### **Illustrative Examples from Different Contexts**

The ethical concerns surrounding chequebook journalism and paid news are not confined to a single geographic or cultural context; rather, they manifest in varied forms across the globe. In *South*

*Asia*, particularly during electoral cycles, investigative reports and media watchdog findings have revealed instances where political actors entered into undisclosed agreements with news organisations to secure favourable coverage. Despite the public exposure of such practices, legal and regulatory consequences have often been minimal, allowing the cycle to continue. In *Australia*, allegations have emerged against certain broadcasters accused of offering substantial benefits—financial or otherwise—to news subjects, raising questions about editorial neutrality and the potential manipulation of sources. In the *United States*, most established news organisations maintain explicit policies prohibiting payment for news; however, the practice persists in segments of tabloid and celebrity journalism, frequently drawing criticism for sensationalising content and distorting public discourse. Meanwhile, in the *United Kingdom*, historic inquiries into media misconduct, most notably the Leveson Inquiry, uncovered systemic use of paid sources and unethical information-gathering methods, prompting public outrage and triggering a wave of industry-wide reforms. Together, these cases demonstrate that the ethical challenges posed by financial transactions between journalists and their sources are global in scope, requiring vigilance, transparency, and robust accountability measures across different media landscapes.

### Ethical Guidelines and Professional Best Practices

To effectively address the ethical challenges posed by chequebook journalism and paid news, media organisations must adopt clear and enforceable professional standards. First, journalists should *avoid offering monetary incentives for information*, ensuring that stories are pursued on the basis of their public interest value rather than transactional arrangements. Where any form of compensation or reimbursement is unavoidable—such as travel costs, accommodation, or meals—it should be subject to explicit *disclosure policies*, allowing audiences to assess the independence of the reporting. In the case of *marginalised or vulnerable voices*, non-monetary forms of recognition, such as honoraria, may be ethically permissible, but only when authorised through editorial oversight and accompanied by full transparency to avoid exploitation. Furthermore, *clear expense policies* should distinguish between legitimate logistical costs and payments that could be construed as inducements. To ensure consistent application of these principles, *internal ethics panels* or review committees should be established within media organisations. These bodies would serve as safeguards, reviewing complex or borderline cases, providing ethical guidance to reporters, and reinforcing the commitment to editorial integrity. Collectively, these measures not only uphold professional ethics but also help

restore public trust in the media's role as an impartial and accountable institution.

### Rethinking Norms: Ethical Gray Zones and Alternatives

While a strict prohibition on payments for information remains a widely endorsed safeguard for journalistic integrity, some scholars and practitioners argue for a more nuanced approach that recognises the complexities of contemporary media practice. In *participatory and community journalism*, for instance, unpaid contributors often invest significant time, effort, and local knowledge in producing content. Acknowledging this *informational labour* through fair compensation may be ethically defensible, provided it is implemented transparently and without influencing editorial direction. Similarly, *post-publication recognition*—whether in the form of modest financial acknowledgment or the granting of a byline—can reward contributors while avoiding the perception that payment shaped the story's substance. Another approach is the use of *honorarium models*, whereby media institutions provide fixed, pre-established payments for contributions involving substantial expertise or emotional labour. Such arrangements must be governed by strict editorial guidelines, accompanied by full public disclosure, to ensure they do not erode independence or public trust. These alternatives aim to balance the imperative of ethical transparency with the need to address structural inequities in media representation, particularly for

underrepresented or marginalised communities whose voices might otherwise remain unheard.

### Legal and Institutional Contexts

Globally, regulatory responses to the problem of paid news and chequebook journalism vary significantly, reflecting differences in political culture, media systems, and institutional capacity. In some democracies, *voluntary codes of conduct* remain the primary means of regulation, relying on self-discipline within the profession. In other contexts, governments and independent commissions have initiated *formal inquiries* into unethical media practices, with varying degrees of success in implementing reforms. Effective strategies identified across jurisdictions include *strengthening media oversight* through independent regulatory bodies empowered with investigative authority and the ability to recommend sanctions for violations. Equally important is the implementation of *mandatory ethics training* for journalists, editors, and newsroom managers, ensuring that professional standards are not only understood but consistently applied. Additionally, *public accountability mechanisms*—such as newsroom ombudsmen, open corrections policies, and structured audience feedback channels—enhance transparency and promote responsiveness to public concerns. By integrating these institutional safeguards, both established and emerging media systems can address ethical breaches more effectively,

reinforcing journalism's credibility and its essential role in democratic governance.

### Conclusion

The practices of chequebook journalism and paid news represent profound challenges to the ethical, professional, and democratic foundations of journalism. By introducing financial incentives into the process of news gathering and dissemination, these practices risk distorting editorial priorities, undermining public trust, and eroding the press's role as an independent watchdog. The analysis presented in this article—spanning philosophical frameworks, international case studies, professional codes of ethics, and regulatory approaches—underscores that safeguarding journalistic integrity requires both principled commitment and structural reform.

While a clear prohibition on payment for information remains a necessary safeguard, nuanced alternatives such as honorarium models, post-publication recognition, and participatory journalism compensation schemes offer potential pathways for ethically acknowledging contributors without compromising editorial independence. These must, however, be accompanied by strict transparency measures, editorial oversight, and adherence to professional standards.

Ultimately, the preservation of ethical journalism depends on the collective responsibility of media institutions,



journalists, regulators, and the public. Strengthened oversight mechanisms, rigorous ethics training, and open accountability channels can help rebuild trust and reinforce the press's democratic mandate. In an era of intense commercial and political pressures, reasserting the primacy of truth, independence, and fairness is not only a professional obligation but a societal imperative.

### References

- Ethical Journalism Network. (2023). *Global guidelines on media integrity*. Ethical Journalism Network. <https://ethicaljournalismnetwork.org>
- International Federation of Journalists. (2024). *Ethical challenges in the digital age*. International Federation of Journalists. <https://www.ifj.org>
- Joseph, M. (2010). *Ethical issues in journalism and the media*. New Delhi: Concept Publishing Company.
- Kovach, B., & Rosenstiel, T. (2014). *The elements of journalism: What newspeople should know and the public should expect* (3rd ed.). New York: Three Rivers Press.
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). London: Sage Publications.
- Media Reform Coalition. (2022). *Press ethics and accountability frameworks*. Media Reform Coalition.
- Media Reform Coalition. <https://www.mediareform.org.uk>
- Plaisance, P. L. (2013). *Media ethics: Key principles for responsible practice* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Press Council of India. (n.d.). *Reports on media practices*. Press Council of India. <http://presscouncil.nic.in>
- Rao, S., & Wasserman, H. (Eds.). (2007). *Media ethics and justice in the age of globalization*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Society of Professional Journalists. (2023). *SPJ code of ethics*. Society of Professional Journalists. <https://www.spj.org/ethicscode.asp>
- Ward, S. J. A. (2015). *The invention of journalism ethics: The path to objectivity and beyond* (2nd ed.). Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.